ISBN: 978-602-5649-417

# ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL DISTRESS WITH AN USING ALTMAN Z-SCORE METHODS ON BAKRIE GROUP COMPANIES LISTED IN BEI YEAR 2012 - 2017

Resta Gadis Fadillah, M. Yusak Anshori, Niken Savitri Primasari

Nahdlatul Ulama University Surabaya Surabaya, Indonesia gosmayasa@gmail.com

Management, Economics and Business, UNUSA Surabaya, Indonesia

### Abstract

The purpose of this study was to analyze financial distress conditions in Bakrie Group companies listed on the Stock Exchange in the 2012 to 2017 period. The data used in this study is secondary data, taken from the company's financial statements on the IDX in 2012-2017. The sample consists of 5 companies from 2012 to 2017 and is still registered to date. The method used is the original Altman Z-Score model for manufacturing public companies. The ratio used is working capital (working capital to total assets), retained earnings (retained earnings) to total assets, earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) to total assets, the market value of the capital of the debt value (market value of total liabilities), and sales to total assets (sales to total assets). The results of the study show that the five companies are in a distress zone where the factors are significant because the average profitability and low liquidity of the company cannot be economically and efficiently run his business.

Keywords: Altman Z-Score, financial distress, bankruptcy, Bakrie Group

### Introduction

One of the benefits of the analysis of financial statements is to predict the survival of the company. Bankruptcy prediction analysis is an analysis that can help a company to anticipate the possibility that the company will experience bankruptcy caused by financial problems. Bankruptcy analysis in this study uses the Z-Score (Altman) method, which is a score that is determined from the standard count of the times the financial ratios that will indicate the level of probability of corporate bankruptcy (Supardi, 2003: 73).

### Research methods

Variable

No

This type of research is descriptive with quantitative methods, namely the formulation of the problem descriptively and data quantitatively. The data used are secondary data derived from the annual financial statements of the Bakrie Group company downloaded from the official website <a href="www.idx.co.id">www.idx.co.id</a> during the 2012 to 2017 period. In this study, the sampling technique used purposive sampling technique, where the research was conducted on 5 manufacturing companies in the Bakrie Group. Processing methods and data analysis in this study are discriminants. The discriminant analysis used in this method is the Altman Z-Score analysis. Here are the five variables used:

NoVariableFormulaScale1Altman Z-Score (Z) $Z = 1,2X_1+1,4X_2+3,3X_3+0,6X_4+0,999X_5$ Score2Net working capital to total assets  $(X_1)$  $X_1 =$ Ratio

Formula

Table 1. Altman Z-Score variable

Scale

3	Retained earning to total assets (X <sub>2)</sub>	X <sub>2</sub> =	Ratio
4	Earning before interest and tax to total assets (X <sub>3)</sub>	X <sub>3</sub> =	Ratio
5	Market value of equity to total liability $(X_4)$	X4 =	Ratio
6	Sales to total assets (X5)	$X_5 =$	Ratio

After finding out the results of the Altman model calculation, then determine the condition of each company based on the following criteria :

Table 2. Z-Score Score Criteria

Value of Z-Score	Information
Z < 1,81	Indicating indications that the company is facing a threat of serious
	bankruptcy ( distress zone ), this needs to be followed up by the
	company's management to avoid bankruptcy.
1,81 > Z > 2,99	Indicates that the company is in a vulnerable condition. In this
	condition, management must be careful in managing company assets
	so that there is no bankruptcy (Gray zone).
Z > 2,99	Shows the company in a healthy financial condition and has no
	problems with the financial (Safe Zone).

# **Research Results and Discussion**

The following is a list of company samples specified:

**Table 3.** Bakrie Group Company Samples Period of 2012 – 2017

No.	Code Company	Names of Company
1	UNSP	PT. Bakrie Sumatra Plant Tbk
2	BNBR	PT. Bakrie & Brothers Tbk
3	BUMI	PT. Bumi Resources Tbk
4	BRMS	PT. Bumi Resources Minerals Tbk
5	DEWA	PT. Darma Henwa Tbk

# 1. Working Capital to Total Assets ratio

**Table 4.** Working Capital to Total Assets Ratio Value (X<sub>1</sub>) Period of 2012 – 2017

Company	Working Capital to Total Assets ratio								
Company	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Average		
UNSP	0.041	-0.161	-0.330	-0.427	-0.631	-0.746	-0.376		
BNBR	0.157	-0.231	-0.548	-0.913	-1.294	-1.376	-0.701		
BUMI	-0.040	-0.396	-0.907	-1,453	-0.076	-0.155	-0.505		
BRMS	-0.136	-0.263	-0.333	-0.408	-0.246	0.239	-0.191		
DEWA	0.117	0.084	0.130	0.073	0.009	-0.058	0.059		

The value of the average ratio working capital to total assets the five companies for six years were negative. H al shows the average for six of the fifth year the company has current liabilities greater than current assets, which means that the five companies for six years can't cover its current liabilities or short-term liabilities.

According to Bambang Riyanto (2008) that the higher the value of this ratio, the greater the portion of working capital owned by the company than its total assets. On the contrary, the average value of this ratio is low, meaning that the working capital of the company is lower than the total assets. The value of working capital to total assets is a lot of negative because the capital obtained by the Bakrie company is from debt so that working capital during the research year has decreased

# 2. Retained Earning to Total Assets Ratio

**Tabel 5.** Retained Earning to Total Assets Ratio Value  $(X_2)$ Period of 2012 - 2017

C	Retained Earning to Total Assets Ratio								
Company	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Average		
UNSP	0.057	-0.284	-0.148	-0.350	-0.352	-0.526	-0.267		
BNBR	0.023	-0.001	0.013	-0.186	-2.654	-2.818	-0.937		
BUMI	-0.059	-0.149	-0.311	-0.989	-1.060	-0.789	-0.559		
BRMS	0.055	-0.007	-0.055	-0.073	-0.428	-0.800	-0.218		
DEWA	-0.107	-0.270	-0.276	-0.257	-0.251	-0.233	-0.232		

The average ratio of retained earnings to the total assets of the five companies for six years is negative. This shows that on average for six years the financial financing of the five companies relied more on debt than profit because the company suffered losses. Retained earnings show how much company income is not paid in the form of dividends to shareholders. Low retained earnings may indicate that the company has a low ability to manage dividend payments.

### 3. EBIT to Total Assets ratio

**Table 6.** *EBIT to Total Assets* Ratio Value (X<sub>3</sub>) Period of 2012 – 2017

Company	EBIT to Total Assets Ratio									
Company	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	— Average			
UNSP	-0.050	-0.142	-0.033	-0.066	-0.032	-0.042	-0.061			
BNBR	0.034	-0.001	0.024	-0.179	-0.547	0.063	-0.101			
BUMI	0.136	0.098	0.106	0.012	0.008	0.005	0.061			
BRMS	0.004	0.004	0.005	-0.001	-0.003	-0.006	0.001			
DEWA	-0.045	-0.029	0.039	0.064	0.042	0.086	0.026			

The value of the average ratio EBIT to total assets of a company that experiences the average values of EBIT to negative total assets, namely UNSP and BNBR. This shows that the two companies are not optimal da lam utilize assets to generate income to cover operating expenses. Can be interpreted as a high-value company operating expenses, close to or more than the total income so that operating income can be negative or experience a loss. The lower the EBIT ratio to total assets shows the smaller the ability of the company to generate profits before interest and taxes from assets used so that the probability of the company against financial distress is higher (Maulana, 2010).

### 4. Market Value to Total Liabilities ratio

ISBN: 978-602-5649-417

**Table 7.** *Market Value to Total Liabilities* Ratio Value (X<sub>4</sub>)
Period of 2012 – 2017

Company	Market Value to Total Liabilities ratio								
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			
UNSP	0.115	0.052	0.051	0.050	0.051	0.048	0.061		
BNBR	0.460	0.335	0.347	0.357	0.372	0.372	0.374		
BUMI	0.186	2.344	0.044	0.021	0.129	0.223	0.491		
BRMS	1.104	0.724	0.925	0.104	0.293	0.424	0.596		
DEWA	0.685	0.633	0.668	0.641	0.523	0.461	0.602		

From the results of the average value of the market value to total liabilities ratio for six years, the five companies have an average positive X 4 value. H al shows that the five companies for six years to avoid the problem of solvency, only if the company has an average value during six years of zero means that the company approached the problem of solvency which the asset is smaller than the debts or obligations of the company or possible that during the period of six years the company suffered continuous losses. Market value is an external analysis in a company that describes the company's ability to create added value in the market.

### 5. Sales to Total Assets ratio

**Table 8.** Sales to Total Assets Ratio Value (X<sub>5</sub>)
Period of 2012 – 2017

101104 01 2012 2017										
Compone		Sales to Total Assets ratio								
Company	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Average			
UNSP	0.131	0.115	0.170	0.132	0.106	0.108	0.127			
BNBR	0.989	0.439	0.562	0.362	0.308	0.372	0.505			
BUMI	0.513	0.506	0.013	0.012	0.008	0.005	0.176			
BRMS	0.011	0.010	0.009	0.006	0.002	0.006	0.007			
DEWA	0.762	0.607	0.660	0.644	0.679	0.604	0.659			

From the results of the average value of the ratio of sales to total assets for six years, the five companies have an average positive X 5 value. This shows how much the ability of the company's funds in the overall assets to rotate in a certain period. This is supported by the research of Dzulkirom (2015) in the journal Wulandari and Widayanti (2017) stating that this variable serves to measure management's ability to use assets to generate sales and describe the turnover rate of all company assets.

# **Conclusions and recommendations**

Based on the Altman's formula for manufacturing companies going public, the results have been obtained from the financial ratios that have been known previously. The Z score obtained is as follows:

Table 9
Results of the Fifth Z Score of the Bakrie Group Company
Period of 2012 – 2017

Company of Bakrie Group	Year	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	<b>X</b> <sub>3</sub>	X4	X5	Value Z Score	Category Z Score
	2012	0.041	0.057	-0.05	0.115	0.131	0.166	Distress zone
	2013	-0.161	0,284	-0.142	0.052	0,115	-0.914	Distress zone
UNSP	2014	-0.330	-0.148	-0.033	-0.051	0,17	-0.511	Distress zone
UNSP	2015	-0.427	-0.350	-0.066	0.050	0.132	-1.058	Distress zone
	2016	-0.631	-0.352	-0.032	0.051	0.106	-1.220	Distress zone
	2017	-0.746	-0.526	-0.042	0.048	0.108	-1.634	Distress zone
	2012	0.157	0.023	0.034	0.460	0.989	1.597	Distress zone
BNBR	2013	-0.231	-0.001	-0.001	0.335	0.439	0.358	Distress zone
	2014	-0.548	0.013	0.024	0.347	0.562	0.209	Distress zone

	2015	0.012	0.107	0.170	0.257	0.262	1 272	D:
	2015	-0.913	-0.186	-0.179	0.357	0.362	-1.372	Distress zone
	2016	-1.294	-2.654	-0.547	0.372	0.308	-6.545	Distress zone
	2017	-1.376	-2.818	0.0063	0.372	0.372	-4.794	Distress zone
	2012	-0.040	-0.059	0.136	0.186	0.513	0.941	Distress zone
		-0.396	-0.149	0.098	2.344	0.506	1.552	Distress zone
	2013							
BUMI	2014	-0.907	-0.311	0.106	0.044	0.013	-1.133	Distress zone
	2015	-1.453	-0.989	0.012	0.021	0.012	-3.064	Distress zone
	2016	-0.076	-1.060	0.008	0.129	0.008	-1.465	Distress zone
	2017	-0.155	-0.789	0.005	0.223	0.005	-1.137	Distress zone
	2012	-0.136	0.055	0.004	1.104	0.011	0.601	Distress zone
	2013	-0.263	-0.007	0.004	0.724	0.010	0.134	Distress zone
DDMC	2014	-0.333	-0.055	0.005	0.925	0.009	0.104	Distress zone
BRMS	2015	-0.408	-0.073	-0.001	0.104	0.006	-0.525	Distress zone
	2016	-0.246	-0.428	-0.003	0.293	0.002	-0.726	Distress zone
	2017	0.239	-0.800	-0.006	0.424	0.006	-0.592	Distress zone
	2012	0.117	-0.107	-0.045	0.685	0.762	1.015	Distress zone
	2013	0.084	-0.270	-0.029	0.633	0.607	0.613	Distress zone
DEWA	2014	0.130	-0.276	0.039	0.668	0.660	0.955	Distress zone
	2015	0.073	-0.257	0.064	0.641	0.644	0.966	Distress zone
	2016	0.009	-0.251	0.042	0.523	0.679	0.790	Distress zone
	2017	-0.058	-0.233	0.086	0.461	0.604	0.767	Distress zone

Based on table 9 it was concluded that the five Bakrie Group companies, namely UNSP, BNBR, BUMI, BRMS, DEWA, were in accordance with the results obtained by the company in a distress zone. This is indicated by the Z score in the five companies below 1, 81. In accordance with the Z score category statement, these five companies experienced financial distress. The average value of the Bakrie Group company's financial ratios in 2012 to 2017 is:

- UNSP has an average ratio value working capital to total assets, retained earnings to total assets, and earnings before interest and tax to negative total assets for 6 years.
- BNBR has an average value of working capital to total assets, retained earnings to total assets, and earnings before interest and tax to negative total assets for 6 years.
- BUMI has an average value of working capital to total assets and retained earn negative total assets for 6 years.
- BRMS has an average value of working capital to total assets and retained earn negative total assets for 6 years.
- DEWA has an average value of retained earnings to negative total assets for 6 years.

Based on the conclusions that have been analyzed by the researcher, the researcher suggests : Advice for Bakrie Group companies:

- 1. For the five companies,
- the problem faced is the company's liquidity and profitability. In the case of liquidity, the company can add working capital from the addition of the company's operating results, the sale of shares and bonds, the sale of fixed assets that are not needed, or by way of sale of securities by a higher price.
- In terms of profitability, The fifth company is also expected to augment retained earnings by reducing the dividend distribution d and reduced operating losses in the company.
- As for the issue of asset productivity in generating profits, (EBIT) companies can reduce operating expenses, such as salaries, rental expenses and others related to company operations.

## 2. For investors:

This research is the development of signals published by the company. The results of this research are expected to be useful for investors as information material and references for consideration in investing.\

3. Suggestions for further research:

This research can be used as a reference to analyze financial distress in a company. In addition to manufacturing companies, the Altman method can also be used for non-manufacturing companies so that more samples are used. Other financial distress prediction methods that can be used are Grover, Springate, and Zmijewski.

### References

Altman, E.I 1968. Financial Ratio Discriminan Analysis and The Prediction of Corporate Bankruptcy. *Journal of finance, Vol XXIII, No. 4, Sept.* 

Almilia, Luciana Spica dan Kristijadi.2003. "Analisis Rasio Keuangan untuk Memprediksi Kondisi Financial Distress Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdapat di BEJ". *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Auditing Indonesia, Vol. 7 No. 2, Desember*, Hal 183 – 206.

Amir, Abadi Jusuf 2000. Akuntansi Keuangan Lanjutan di Indonesia. Edisi Revisi. Jakarta: Penerbit Salemba Empat.

Bee Thai, Siew 2014. "A Revisited of Altman Z- Score Model for Companies Listed in Bursa Malaysia". International Journal of Business and Social Science Vol. 5, No. 12; November 2014.

Dwi Anisa, Vindy. 2016. "Analisis Variable Kebangkrutan Terhadap Financial Distress dengan Metode Altman Z-Score". Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Manajemen: Volume 5, Nomor 5.

Eungene F. Brigham dan Joel F. Houston, Manajemen Keuangan, Erlangga, Jakarta, 2001 hal. 36

Febriani, Maria Ulfah. 2013. Analisis Z-Scoreuntuk Memprediksi Financial Distress pada Perusahaan Pulp and Paper". *Jurnal Ilmu & Riset Akuntansi Vol. 2 No. 2*.

Gumanti, Tatang A. 2009. Teori Sinyal Manajemen Keuangan. Usahawan No.06. Edisi 38. Hal.4.

Hadi, Syamsul 2008. "Pemilihan Prediktor *Delisting* Terbaik (Perbandingan Antara The Zmijewski Model, The Altman Model, dan The Springate Model)". *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Auditing Indonesia*.

Harmono.2009, Manajemen Keuangan Berbasis Balanced Scorecard (Pendekatan Teori, Kasus, dan Riset Bisnis), Bumi Aksara, Jakarta. Munawir, S.Analisis Informasi Keuangan, Liberty, Yogyakarta.

Kasmir. 2014. Bank dan Lembaga Keuangan Lainnya. Edisi Revisi, Cetakan keempatbelas, PT. Raja Grafindo Persada, Jakarta.

Kuswadi.2004. *Meningkatkan Laba Melalui Pendekatan Akuntansi Keuangan dan Akuntansi Biaya*. Jakarta: PT Elex Media Komputindo.

Maulana, H.2010. "Prediksi Financial Distress pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Menggunakan Rasio Altman". *Skripsi*. STIE Perbanas Surabaya.

Ondang, Rischo Ch.S. 2014. "Analisis Financial Distress dengan Menggunakan Metode Altman Z-*Score* untuk Memprediksi Kebangkrutan pada Perusahaan (Studi Perusahaan Telekomunikasi yang Terdaftar di BEI Periode 2008 – 2012)". Universitas Telkom.

Prihadi, Toto 2010. Analisis laporan Keuangan. Teori dan Aplikasi. Jakarta: PPM Manajemen.

Ramadhani, Ayu Suci dan Niki Lukviarman. 2009. "Perbandingan analisis prediksi kebangkrutan menggunakan Model Altman pertama, Altman revisi, dan altman modifikasi dengan ukuran dan umur perusahaan sebagai variabel penjelas (studi pada perusahaan manufaktur yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia)". *Jurnal Siasat Bisnis, volume 13, nomor 1, pp. 15-28.* 

Restuti, Maria Immaculata. 2006. Pengaruh Pertumbuhan Perusahaan Terhadap Peringkat Obligasi Dan Yield Obligasi. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan, Volume 1 No 3, 2007.* 

Riyanto, Bambang. 2008. Pembelanjaan Perusahaan, BPFE, Yogyakarta

Sugiyono. 2008. *Metode Penelitian Bisnis*. Bandung: Alfabeta Brigham, E.F. & Daves, P.R. (2003). Intermediate Financial Management with Thomson One. United States of America: Cengage South-Western.

Sugiyono 2014. Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif dan R&D. Bandung: Alfabeta.

Supardi.2003."Validitas Penggunaan Z *Score* Altman untuk Menilai Kebangkrutan pada Perusahaan Perbankan Go Publik di Bursa Efek Jakarta". Magister Manajemen Universitas Padjajaran.

Trijadi, Rahmat. 1999. Penerapan Z *Score* Memprediksi Kesulitan Keuangan dan Kebangkrutan Perbankan Indonesia.Manajemen Investasi dan Portofolio.

Wahyuningtyas, F. 2010. "Penggunaan Laba dan Arus Kas untuk Memprediksi Kondisi Financial Distress". *Jurnal Keuangan dan Perbankan Vol 4.No. 1, Januari 2010.* 

Wijaya, Trisnadi, Wendi, Kardina. 2015. "Analisis Penggunaan Model Altman Z-*Score* untuk memprediksi Kebangkrutan pada Perusahaan Jasa Transportasi yang Terdaftar di BEI Periode 2010-2014". STIE MDP Palembang.

Wulandari, Fitria, Burhanuddin, dan Rochmi Widayanti. 2017. "Analisis Prediksi Kebangkrutan Menggunakan Metode Altman (*Z-Score*) pada Perusahaan Farmasi (Studi Kasus pada Perusahaan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2011-2015)". Universitas Islam Batik Surakarta.

www.wikipedia.co.id diakses pada tanggal 14 Maret 2018

www.idx.co.id diakses pada tanggal 14 Maret 2018