

5649-417

ISBN: 978-602-

# FACTORS AFFECTING DIVIDEND PAY OUT RATIO ON NONFINANCIAL COMPANIES LISTED IN BEI 2013-2017 PERIOD

Fitria Novalentina Ningrum, M. Yusak Anshori, Ninnasi Muttaqiin

Faculty of Economics and Business Nahdlatul Ulama University of Surabaya Surabaya, Indonesia <u>fitrianovalentinan@gmail.com</u>

# Abstract,

The purpose of this research is to analyze the influence of Return On Equity (ROE), the Current Ratio (CR), size, Debt to Equity Ratio (DER), growth, and free cash flow against Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR) at non-financial companies listed on the IDX period 2013-2017. The data used are secondary data, taken from the annual report 2013-2017 from non-financial companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. The sampling technique is used is purposive sampling. The sample consists of 12 companies from 2013 to 2017 and is still listed. Analysis of the tool to test the hypothesis is multiple regression analysis using SPSS 20 a significant level of 0.05. These empirical results show that Return on Equity (ROE) has no significant and positive influence on Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). Current Ratio (CR), Debt to Equity Ratio (DER), and Free Cash Flow have a significant and positive influence on Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). Size has a significant and negative influence on Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). Growth has no significant and negative influence on Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). Growth has no significant and negative influence on Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR).

Keywords: Dividend Payout Ratio, Return On Equity, Current Ratio, Size, Debt to Equity Ratio, Growth, and Free Cash Flow.

## Introduction

The level of development of the average Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR) in non-financial companies on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) during the period 2013-2017 experienced fluctuations and did not indicate a stable application of dividend payout ratio. The development of current conditions also shows the proportion of companies that do not pay more dividends compared to companies that pay dividends. To measure the number of dividends that will be distributed to shareholders, it is necessary to have parameters or indicators to calculate the ratio by using the dividend payout ratio. Some factors that influence dividend policy are profitability, liquidity, size, leverage, growth, and free cash flow.

## **Research methods**

The population used in this study are all non-financial companies listed on the Indonesian Financial Exchange in the 2013-2017 period. Sampling in this study using purposive sampling method, which is a technique to determine the research sample with several specific considerations : (1) All non-financial companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (BEI) for the 2013-2017 period; (2) The sample company has issued a financial report; (3) Corporate financial statements in the form of rupiah. So the companies whose financial statements are not in rupiah will be excluded from the sample; (4) The sample company has data related to dividend payments. So companies that do not pay dividends during the period of early researchers will be excluded from the sample; and (5) Companies that have all the data needed in the study. Based on sampling, the total sample in this study was 12 company samples.

The data used in this study came from the annual report, a summary of the performance of listed companies, and a fact book for non-financial companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) during the 2013-2017 period. The analytical tool used is descriptive statistical analysis, classical assumption test (normality test, autocorrelation test, multicollinearity test, heteroscedasticity test), multiple linear regression analysis, and hypothesis testing (t-test and coefficient of determination).

# Research Results and Discussion Research result

The table below is the result of descriptive statistics dividend distribution variables as measured by Return On Equity (ROE), Current Ratio (CR), Size, Debt to Equity Ratio (DER), Growth, Free Cash Flow, and Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR).

Descriptive Statistics								
	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation			
DPR	43	10.66	171.97	56.8106	33.14801			
ROE	43	.82	64.83	19.9786	13.08177			
CR	43	58.42	390.69	214.9128	79.23404			
SIZE	43	24.90	33.32	28.8645	1.95413			
DER	43	.13	1.74	.6771	.36429			
GROWTH	43	-6.80	39.94	9.5398	9.73816			
FCF	43	2547548678.00	19006000000 00.00	205133724930 9.7678	421720271163 0.07230			
Valid N (listwise)	43							

Table 1Descriptive Statistics Test Results

Source: Secondary data processed by SPSS, 2018

Based on table 1 it can be seen that the average value of the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR) is 56, 8106 and the standard deviation is 33.14801. The average variable Return On Equity (ROE) of 19, 9786 and a standard deviation of 13.08177. The Current Ratio (CR) variable has an average of 214, 9128 and a standard deviation of 79.23404. The size variable has an average of 28, 8645 and a standard deviation of 1.95413. The Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) variable has an average of 0,6771 and a standard deviation of 0.36429. Variable growth has an average of 9, 5398 and a standard deviation of 9.73816. The variable free cash flow has an average of 2051337249309,7678 and a standard deviation of 4217202711630.07230.

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test results show a normal relationship. The result of the SPSS output is the Asymp value. Sig (2-tailed) of 0.678 or above  $\alpha = 0.05$ . This means that data is normally distributed. Based on the results of the Normal Probability Plot can show that the data pattern spreads around the diagonal line and follows the diagonal direction so that it can be concluded that the data processed by the researcher shows normally distributed data that can meet the normality test.

Autocorrelation test was carried out with the Durbin Watson (DW) mapping test. From the regression obtained the number DW is 2.729 with the number of data (n) 43 because there are some outlier data and the number of variables (k) 6 and  $\alpha = 5\%$  is obtained the numbers dL = 1.2148 and dU = 1.8413. Because the results of calculations that show that the test is not convincing or cannot be concluded, the RUN (Runs Test) test is conducted to prove that the data does not have autocorrelation with the sig value requirement. must be above 0,05. In the Asymp value RUN test results. Sig. (2-tailed) greater than 0,05 it can be concluded that the regression model does not have autocorrelation.

To detect multicollinearity, this study uses tolerance values and VIF coefficients. The calculation of the regression tolerance value model used in this study shows that no independent variable has tolerance <0, which means there is no correlation between independent variables whose values are more than 95%. The results of the calculation of variance inflation factor (VIF) also shows the same thing there is no variable that has a VIF value> 10, so it can be concluded that the regression model in this study did not occur multicollinearity and the regression model is feasible to use.

Heteroscedasticity test is done by looking at the plot graph between the value of the dependent variable, ZPRED with the residual SRESID. Based on the results of the study showed that there is no clear pattern, and the points spread above and below the number 0 on the Y-axis. This shows that there is no symptom of heteroscedasticity.

To test the hypothesis, this study uses multiple regression. Here are the results of multiple regression shown in Table 2.

Model	В	Т	Sig.	Information		
(Constant)	299.307	2.813	.008			
ROE	.356	.990	.329	Has no Effect		
CR	.334	3.110	.004	Has a positive Influence		
SIZE	-13.118	-3.346	.002	Has a negative Influence		
DER	79.496	3.205	.003	Has a positive Influence		
GROWTH	471	-1.051	.300	No Negative Influence		
FCF	3.832e <sup>-012</sup>	2.296	.028	Has a positive Influence		
Dependent Variabel : DPR						
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		.333	Has an effect			

Table 4.7 T-test results

Source: Secondary data processed by SPSS, 2018

Based on Table 2 above, the regression equation has an adjusted R 2 amounting to 0.333. This shows that the independent variable consisting of Return On Equity (ROE), Current Ratio (CR), size , Debt to Equity Ratio (DER), growth , and free cash flow can explain the variable Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR) by 33% while the remaining 67% is explained by other variables outside the research model.

## Discussion

## The impact of Return on Equity (ROE) on Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR)

Testing of hypothesis 1 shows that partially Return on Equity (ROE) does not affect the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). Hypothesis 1 is rejected. This can be seen from the acquisition of the number t-count variable Return On Equity (ROE) of 0.990 with a significant value of 0.329 (Sig> 0,05). The results of this study are supported by research conducted by Mui and Mustapha (2016), which states that Return On Equity (ROE) has an insignificant relationship to the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR).

# The impact of Current Ratio (CR) on Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR)

Testing of hypothesis 2 shows that Partially Current Ratio (CR) affects the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). Hypothesis 2 is accepted. This can be seen from the acquisition of the number t-count variable Current Ratio (CR) of 3.110 with a significant value of 0.004 (Sig <0, 05). The results of this study are consistent with research conducted by Mui and Mustapha (2016) and Indrawan et al. (2017), which states that the liquidity proxied by Current Ratio (CR) has a positive effect on the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). Companies that have a high current ratio will affect the profit obtained. Companies with a high level of current ratio would increase the likelihood of dividend payment in cash (dividend payout), thus attracting investors to invest.

#### The impact of Size on Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR)

Testing of hypothesis 3 shows that Partially size affects the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). Hypothesis 3 is accepted. This can be seen from the acquisition of the number t-count variable size of the company (size) of - 3.346 with a significant value of 0.002 (Sig <0, 05). The results of this study are supported by research conducted by Nurhayati (2013) and Eltya et al. (2016), which states that the size of the company has a significant and negative influence on the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR).

#### The impact of Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) on Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR)

The testing of hypothesis 4 shows that Partially Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) affects the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). Hypothesis 4 is accepted. This can be seen from the acquisition of the number t-count variable Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) of 3.205 with a significant value of 0.003 (Sig <0, 05). The results of this study are supported by research conducted by Indrawan et al. (2017) which states that Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) has a significant and positive relationship to the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). Judging from the observation period, non-financial companies have a low average Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) of 0,6771. The low DER level shows

better company performance because it causes a higher dividend payout ratio. Investors tend to choose companies with financial statements that show low DER in order to get a high dividend payout ratio.

## The impact of Growth on Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR)

Testing of hypothesis 5 shows that partially growth does not affect the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). Hypothesis 5 is accepted. This can be seen from the acquisition of the t-count number of the growth variable of -1,051 with a significant value of 0 .300 (Sig> 0, 05 ). The results of this study are consistent with research conducted by Rafique (2012), which states that growth does not negatively affect the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR).

#### The impact of Free Cash Flow on Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR)

Testing of hypothesis 6 shows that partially free cash flow affects the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR). Hypothesis 6 is accepted. This can be seen from the acquisition of the number of t-count free cash flow variables of 2,296 with a significant value of 0.028 (Sig <0.05). The results of this study are consistent with research conducted by Lucyanda and Lilyana (2012) and Prasetio and Bambang (2016), which states that free cash flow has a positive effect on the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR).

#### **Conclusions and suggestions**

Raising the test results above the implications that can be taken from this research is Return on Equity (ROE) does not have a significant and positive influence on the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR) for non-financial period e 2013-2017 companies , Current Ratio (CR) has a significant and positive influence on the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR) in the company non-financial for the 2013-2017 period, Size has a significant and negative influence on the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR) has a significant and positive influence on the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR) for non-financial companies for the 2013-2017 period, Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) has a significant and positive influence on the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR) for non-financial companies for the 2013-2017 period, Growth does not have a significant and negative influence on the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR) for non-financial companies in the 2013-2017 period, and Free cash flow has a significant and positive influence on the Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR) for non-financial companies for the 2013-2017 period.

Further researchers are advised to use more samples with various characteristics from various sectors and extend the study period and because of the limitations in this study, the next researcher should not use variables that have been used in this study but can multiply other indicators that can affect the dividend. payout ratio and it is expected that researchers can further add to the reference of this study.

#### References

Andriani dan Amanah Lailatul. 2017. *Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Kebijakan Dividen Pada Perusahaan Consumer Goods di BEI*. Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Akuntansi Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia (STIESIA) Surabaya Vol. 6 No. 7.

Brigham, Eugene F dan Houston Joel F. 2010. *Dasar-dasar Manajemen Keuangan*. Buku 1. Edisi Kesebelas. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

\_\_\_\_\_. 2014. Dasar-dasar Manajemen Keuangan. Buku 2. Edisi Kesebelas. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Bursa Efek Indonesia. 2016. *Ringkasan Kinerja Perusahaan Tercatat dan Laporan Keuangan*. <u>www.idx.co.id</u>. Diakses pada 19 Oktober 2017, 19.10 WIB.

Deni, Febrianto Frans, Aisjah Siti, dan Dzajuli Atim, 2016. *Analisis Variabel-Variabel yang Mempengaruhi Kebijakan Dividen (Studi pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia)*. Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen (JAM) Vol. 14 No. 2.

Eltya, Sandy, Topowijono, dan Azizah Devi Farah. 2016. Pengaruh Leverage, Likuiditas, Profitabilitas, dan Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap Kebijakan Dividen (Studi pada Perusahaan Perbankan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2012-2014). Jurnal Administrasi Bisnis (JAB) Universitas Brawijaya Malang Vol. 38 No.2

Fitri, Rembulan Rahmadia., Hosen Muhamad Nadratuzzaman, dan Muhari Syafaat. 2016. Analysis of Factors that Impact Dividend Payout Ratio on Listed Companies at Jakarta Islamic Index. International Journal of

Academic Research in Accounting, Finance, and Management Sciences, ISSN 2308-0337 Vol. 6 No. 2, pp 87-97.

Ghozali, Imam. 2011. *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program IBM SPSS 20*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro

Idawati, Ida Ayu Agung dan Sudiartha Gede Merta. 2014. Pengaruh Profitabilitas, Likuiditas, Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap Kebijakan Dividen Perusahaan Manufaktur di BEI. E-Jurnal Manajemen Universitas Udayana Vol. 3 No. 6.

Indrawan, Andri, Suyanto, dan Mulyadi Jmv. 2017. *Return On Equity, Current Ratio, Debt Equity Ratio, Asset Growth, Inflasi dan Suku Bunga Terhadap Dividend Payout Ratio.* Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Ekonomi Universitas Pancasila Vol. 6 No. 11.

Janifairus, Jossie Basten., Hidayat Rustam., dan Husaini Achmad. 2013. Pengaruh Return On Assets, Debt To Equity Ratio, Assets Growth, Dan Cash Ratio Terhadap Dividend Payout Ratio (Studi pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Barang Konsumsi yang Listing di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2008-2010). Jurnal Admisnistrasi Bisnis (JAB) Vol. 1 No. 1.

Kasmir. 2011. Analisis Laporan Keuangan. Cetakan Ketiga. Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada.

Kieso, Donald E., Weygant Jerry J., dan Warfield Terry D. 2010. *Intermediate Accounting*. 13<sup>th</sup>. New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons Inc.

Komrattanapanya, Pornumpai. 2013. Factors Influencing Dividend Payout in Tahiland: A Tobit Regression Analysis. International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting, ISSN 2162-3082 Vol. 3 No. 2.

Lucyanda, Jurica dan Lilyana. 2012. Pengaruh Free Cash Flow Dan Struktur Kepemilikan Terhadap Dividend Payout Ratio. Jurnal Dinamika Akuntansi, ISSN 2085-4277 Vol. 4 No. 2, pp 129-138.

Mui, Yong Teck dan Mustapha Mazlina. 2016. *Determinants of Dividend Payout Ratio : Evidence from Malaysia Public Listed Firms*. Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Scienses, ISSN 2090-4274 Vol. 6 No. 1, pp 48-54.

Mulyadi. 2006. Akuntansi Biaya. Edisi Ketiga. Yogyakarta: STIE YKPN. Hal. 121.

Munawir, S. 2010. Analisis Laporan Keuangan Edisi Keempat. Yogyakarta: Liberty.

Nazir, Mohammad. 2005. Metode Penelitian. Bogor: Ghalia Indonesia.

Nurhayati, Mafizatun. 2013. Profitabilitas, Likuiditas, dan Ukuran Perusahaan Pengaruhnya Terhadap Kebijakan Dividen dan Nilai Perusahaan Sektor Non Jasa. Jurnal Keuangan dan Bisnis Universitas Mercu Buana Jakarta Vol. 5 No. 2.

Nursaada, Alexander Stanly, dan Budiarso Novi. 2013. Pengaruh Rasio Keuangan Terhadap Kebijakan Dividen pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia. Jurnal Accountability Program Studi Pendidikan Profesi Akuntansi (PPAK) Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Sam Ratulangi Manado.

Okviani, Lidya dan Sautma Ronni Basana. 2015. *Analisa Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Kebijakan Dividen (Studi Kasus Perusahaan Manufaktur 2009-2014)*. Jurnal Riset Ekonomi dan Manajemen Vol. 15 No. 2, pp 361-370.

Prasetio, Djoko Adi dan Bambang Suryono. 2016. *Pengaruh Profitabilitas, Free Cash Flow, Investment Opportunity Set Terhadap Dividend Payout Ratio.* Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Akuntansi Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia (STIESIA) Surabaya Vol. 5 No. 1.

Pratiwi, Rahnawati Dwika, Siswanto Ely, dan Istanti Lulu Nurul. 2016. Pengaruh Return On Equity, Debt To Equity Ratio dan Umur Perusahaan Terhadap Kebijakan Dividen (Studi pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2014). Jurnal Ekonomi Bisnis Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Negeri Malang No. 2.

Purnamasari, Lukita Dwi. 2016. Pengaruh CR, DER, dan EPS Terhadap DPR pada Perusahaan Manufaktur. Artikel Ilmiah Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Perbanas Surabaya.

Rafique, Mahira. 2012. Factors Affecting Dividend Payout: Evidence From Listed Non-Financial Firms of Karachi Stock Exchange. Journal Faculty of Management Sciences International Islamic University Islamabad Pakistan Vol. 1 No. 11, pp 76-92.

Rosdini, Dini. 2009. *Pengaruh Free Cash Flow Terhadap Dividend Payout Ratio*. Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan Universitas Padjajaran Vol. 3 No. 2, pp 14-26.

Sari, Aliftia Nawang. 2016. Pengaruh Profitabilitas, Likuiditas, Pertumbuhan Aset, dan Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap Struktur Modal. Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Manajemen Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia (STIESIA) Surabaya Vol. 5 No. 4.

Sartono, Agus. 2009. Manajemen Keuangan Perusahaan Teori Dan Praktik. Jakarta: Erlangga.

Sesilia, Ella dan Oetomo Haning Widi. 2015. *Pengaruh Rasio Keuangan dan Economic Value Added Terhadap Harga Saham*. Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Manajemen Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia (STIESIA) Surabaya Vol. 4 No. 8.

Sugiyono. 2008. Metode Penelitian Bisnis. Bandung: Alfabeta.

\_\_\_\_\_. 2012. Metode Penelitian Bisnis. Bandung: Alfabeta.

Sujarweni, V. Wiratna. 2015. *Metode Penelitian Bisnis dan Ekonomi*. Cetakan Pertama. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Baru Press.

Sunarya, Devi Hoei. 2013. Pengaruh Kebijakan Utang, Profitabilitas, dan Likuiditas Terhadap Kebijakan Dividen Dengan Size Sebagai Variabel Moderasi Pada Sektor Manufaktur Periode 2008-2011. Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa Universitas Surabaya Vol. 2 No. 1.